UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
In re:	X : 08-11153 :	
LEXINGTON PRECISION	CORPORATION, : One Bowling Green : New York, New York	
	Debtor. : February 9, 2009	
	77	
TRANSCRIPT OF CONFERENCE CALL REGARDING STATUS OF EXCLUSIVITY AND CASH COLLATERAL MOTIONS BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARTIN GLENN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE		
		APPEARANCES:
For the Debtor:	ADAM P. STROCHAK, ESQ.	
	Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP 1300 Eye Street, NW	
	Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005	
For the Creditors' Committee:		
	JONATHAN LEVINE, ESQ. JERRY BROCK, ESQ.	
	Andrews, Kurth, LLP 450 Lexington Avenue	
	15th Floor New York, New York 10017	
For the U.S. Trustee:	PAUL KENAN SCHWARTZBERG, ESQ.	
	Office of U.S. Trustee 33 Whitehall Street	
	21st Floor New York, New York 10004	
For Capital Source Finance:	AARON R. CAHN, ESQ.	
	Carter, Ledyard and Milburn, LLP 2 Wall Street	
	New York, New York 10005	
	(Appearances continued on next page.	

08-11153-scc Doc 569 Filed 02/22/09 Entered 02/25/09 08:00:39 Main Document Pg 2 of 19

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
2	SOUTH	HERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:		
4		TOUR O MICHIER BOO	
5	For Capital Source Finance:	JOHN C. TISHLER, ESQ. ROBERT J. WELHOELTER, ESQ.	
6		Waller, Lansden, Dortch and Davis, LLP 511 Union Street Suite 2700	
7		Nashville, Tennessee 37219	
8	Description Description	MICHARI D. MUDNED. 1990	
9	For Webster Business Corporation:	MICHAEL P. TURNER, ESQ. Day, Pitney, LLP	
10		200 Campus Drive Florham Park, New Jersey 07932	
11	Court Throng and horse	DIIMII ANNI IIA CED	
12	Court Transcriber:	RUTH ANN HAGER TypeWrite Word Processing Service 211 N. Milton Road	
13		Saratoga Springs, New York 12866	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
3
    (Proceedings began at 10:05 a.m.)
1
 2
              THE COURT: Judge Glenn. We're here in Lexington
    Precision. It's number 08-11153. Who is on for the debtor's
 3
    counsel?
 4
 5
              MR. STROCHAK: Good morning, Judge. It's Adam
    Strochak from Weil, Gotshal, and John Lucas is on as well.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. Why doesn't everyone else make
 8
    their appearances?
9
              MR. SILVERSTEIN: Your Honor, Paul Silverstein and
10
    Jon Levine and Jerry Brock of Andrews Kurth for the Creditors'
11
    Committee. Good morning.
12
              THE COURT: Good morning.
13
             MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Paul Schwartzberg for the U.S.
14
    Trustee's Office.
15
              THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Schwartzberg.
              MR. SCHWARTZBERG: Good morning, Your Honor.
16
17
              MR. CAHN: Aaron Cahn, Carter, Ledyard and Milburn
18
    for the Capital Source.
19
              THE COURT: Anyone else?
20
              MR. TISHLER: John Tishler and Rob Welhoelter for
21
    Capital Source, and we have on the line from Capital Source
22
    Mark Fadoddy [Ph.] and Lisa Linderman [Ph.].
23
              THE COURT: All right. Anyone else on the phone?
24
              MR. LUBIN: Mike Lubin, Warren Delno [Ph.] and Dennis
25
   Welhouse for Lexington.
```

4 1 THE COURT: Thank you. Good morning. 2 MR. TURNER: Excuse me, Judge. Mike Turner from Day 3 Pitney representing Webster Business Credit Corp. THE COURT: All right. Anyone else? 4 5 Mr. Strochak? 6 MR. STROCHAK: Yes. Good morning, Judge. Let me 7 just give you an update on where we are since our last call. 8 We have filed our motion for continued use of cash collateral. We've had some discussions with counsel for the prepetition 9 10 senior lenders. Unfortunately, we've not been able to reach 11 agreement on consensual use of cash collateral, so right now we're anticipating that that motion will continue to be 12 13 contested. Although I think it's safe to say that, you know, 14 we're not done talking and there's still, you know, 15 opportunities for us to continue to talk, although the central issue appears to be the desire to -- that the prepetition 16 17 lenders have for the debtors to commit to, you know, some type 18 of sale process which we don't think would be in the best 19 interest of the estate so we're very, very reluctant to do 20 that, so we do have that obstacle. 21 We've coordinated on discovery and there will be some 22 discovery going on this week and next on both the exclusivity 23 and the cash collateral motions and I think that's moving 24 forward smoothly at this point and that is -- you know, that is 25 a summary of the status right now.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 We are, you know, continuing discussions with potential exit lenders. I don't have any concrete information to provide the Court with in terms of an update. It's really the same status as it was last time. We're still negotiating, discussing with a couple of financial institutions and other sources of capital. We still believe that we're going to need to make some progress on the consolidation of the connector seals business in order to firm up exit financing, which is, you know, the basis for our request for continued exclusivity and continued use of cash collateral. We think that's just going to be a necessary step in order to move the case toward conclusion and make sense from -- really from everyone's prospective in terms of maximizing the value of this business going forward. So that's a summary of where we are. THE COURT: Now I didn't -- I haven't gone through it myself but I know -- I have a copy in front of me which I haven't looked at carefully -- there was a new budget attached to the cash collateral motion. What -- what's the current cash availability? MR. STROCHAK: I think the best thing for me to do is to defer to Mr. Lubin or Mr. Welhouse on that question because they'll have the most up-to-date information. MR. WELHOUSE: Your Honor, as of right now --

THE COURT: Just identify who's speaking.

```
6
              MR. WELHOUSE: Dennis Welhouse, CFO --
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Welhouse.
 3
              MR. WELHOUSE: -- of Lexington.
              THE COURT: Yes.
 4
 5
              MR. WELHOUSE: Your Honor, right now the cash balance
    is 4.1 million dollars.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tishler or Mr. Cahn, do you
 8
    want to address any comments to the Court?
9
              MR. TISHLER: Your Honor, this is John Tishler. I
10
    believe Mr. Strochak has accurately described things except for
11
    one thing. We're not asking that the company immediately start
12
    a sales process. Rather, we're asking them to explore what
13
    those alternatives would be as a condition to further use of
    cash collateral. We understand it would be difficult in this
14
15
   market to get a sale but we do think it prudent to find out
16
    what options are out there to this company besides just
17
    proceeding down the current path.
18
              THE COURT: Can you tell me, Mr. Tishler, what the
19
    prepetition lenders -- what position they're going to take with
20
    respect to exclusivity?
21
              MR. TISHLER: We really haven't decided yet, Your
22
    Honor, because frankly if we are going to get continued
23
    unwaiveringness by the debtors we may consider our own
24
    competing plan, in which case we would want exclusivity
25
    terminated. We do think that if we could find a place where we
```

7 could work with the debtors, that is our first preference here 1 2 in which case we would like for exclusivity to stay in place 3 because we think that obviously with an opportunity at exit financing or checking out to find out what assets could be sold 4 here and what the prices would bring that that would be the 5 6 appropriate approach, but we haven't completely determined 7 that. 8 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Silverstein or Mr. Brock? MR. SILVERSTEIN: Your Honor, it's Paul Silverstein. 9 10 I have nothing to add. I don't -- I have nothing to say at 11 this point that's additive to anything. THE COURT: 12 Okay. Is the Committee going to take a 13 position on the cash collateral motion? I know you're opposing exclus -- extension of exclusivity, but are you going to take a 14 15 position with respect to the cash collateral motion? 16 MR. SILVERSTEIN: Yes, we will. 17 THE COURT: And the position is? 18 MR. SILVERSTEIN: I don't think I -- I'd prefer not 19 to articulate on that because it's not perfectly articulated in 20 my mind because obviously I don't believe the Court's going to 21 shut the debtor down. I believe the Court's going to allow the 22 debtor to continue using cash collateral. The question is 23 under what circumstances and under what conditions, if any, 24 will -- any are going to be imposed on the debtors and I think 25 we're still thinking about that. We're still addressing the

8 1 issue internally. 2 THE COURT: No one should have assume anything with 3 respect to the cash collateral motion, you know, and in particular the debtors should keep that in mind. 4 5 MR. SILVERSTEIN: Your Honor, as you know, I 6 sometimes take liberties with the assumptions that I make about 7 what people are going to do and I apologize for that. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Strochak, Mr. Tishler, you 9 know, it seems to me that his carefully articulated statement 10 about what it is they want the debtors to consider he -- it was 11 a far cry from saying that they expect the debtors to sell all 12 or part of the business. I mean, it was -- am I correct that 13 debtors are not even prepared to explore what other options 14 might be available now? 15 MR. STROCHAK: Well, Your Honor, this is Adam Strochak. I guess it depends on what "explore" means, you 16 17 know. If the businesses were going to be put on the block and 18 sold I think doing it, you know, short of, you know, a full-19 fledged marketing effort to try and generate as much interest 20 in the business as possible in a difficult general economy 21 would have to be done. Then, you know, once you head down that 22 road you publicly disclose that the businesses are being 23 shopped and you have all the issues that are attendant to that 24 type of situation with, you know, employees, customers being 25 concerned about what's going on and you have to devote the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

effort to managing that process and making sure your employees and your customers and all the other aspects of your business are able to keep functioning as best as they can in an environment where there's going to be significant uncertainty about the future of this business. So, you know, if explore --THE COURT: You know, in the current climate how anyone could have anything other than great uncertainty about the future of the business, I'm not sure what surprises -- you know, that there's any surprise in any of this, but go ahead. MR. STROCHAK: Well, I can speak to that a little bit, Your Honor. I'm -- you know, obviously the debtors have been in, you know, constant contact with their key customers through this whole process and their customers have, you know, a lot of reliance and comfort in the management of this business. It's one message to say that, you know, we're out there, we're taking some steps in the Chapter 11 to solve some of the business problem with the connector seals business but, you know, we've got a strong management team in place and we're committed to serving you, and our goal for this business is to keep it together and to continue to have the same management place and team at the end of the Chapter 11 process. the message that has been, you know, delivered to customers and employees at this point. When you start marketing a business, then it's a much different message to customers. Right? And the message to

customers becomes, well, you know, we had pressure from our 1 2 creditors and we think we -- what we have to do is go out and 3 see if we could sell this business. So we can't give you any assurance anymore that the management team will continue in 4 place. We can't give you any assurance that this business will 5 6 continue to operate in the way this management team envisions. 7 That's a very different process to manage and, you know, lots 8 of businesses do it. I'm not saying it's an impossible 9 process, Judge, but it's a very different process and a very 10 different communication strategy and a very different customer 11 strategy. 12 THE COURT: Is that any different than what you're 13 going to face with a contested cash collateral motion? 14 MR. STROCHAK: Certainly, Your Honor, if the Court 15 decides that we can't continue to use cash collateral, then we have to come to a -- you know, a different plan for moving the 16 17 case forward and for the business. So if that happens then, 18 you know, we'll have to have a communication strategy. 19 THE COURT: More than a communication strategy, Mr. Strochak. I mean --20 21 MR. LUBIN: I would agree with that, Your Honor. 22 This is Mike Lubin. If I can say, the way Mr. Tishler 23 articulated this is a bit different from anything we had heard 24 before. We're obviously open to exploring what alternatives 25 there are. We talk to people, I would say, on a fairly regular

11 basis, both lenders, investors. Our bankers get calls about 1 2 parts of the business and we are -- we field them and no one 3 takes them lightly. We continue to believe as we've said before that the best thing for everyone is to reorganize this. 4 It will result in the greatest value for everyone. We believe 5 6 that when we get the consolidation of this one -- a relatively 7 small piece of the business completed, that we will 8 significantly enhance our ability to get financing and that is born out by the discussions we're having with people about the 9 10 financing. It doesn't mean we have commitments by any means. 11 I don't think anyone's committing to anything at this point in 12 time with any companies, but we -- we are very much open to 13 exploring the alternatives. We believe that, given the fact that we have two 14 15 business units here that make enormous cash flows, that selling them in a 363-sale-type process is not conducive to getting the 16 17 best value for anyone and we believe really that we'll get far 18 more doing it a different way. 19 But if someone came to us with an offer we believe 20 credible for what we consider to be a reasonably fair value on any one of our business units, we would be open to that and we 21 22 would not by any means reject it. 23 THE COURT: Well, let me ask, Mr. Lubin, without

getting into details. Have you and your colleagues had recent

face-to-face meetings with the business people from Capital

24

25

12 1 Source to talk about what alternatives are, what's the best way 2 to maximize everybody's recovery? I mean, at least, you know, 3 Mr. Tishler's -- or at -- you've clearly identified Mr. Tishler's articulation of what Capital Source's short-term 4 5 objectives are don't sound all that different from what you're 6 expressing as the debtors' willingness to explore alternatives. 7 I'm just wondering whether you've sort of -- I'm not saying 8 without the lawyers present, but have the business people from Capital Source and from the debtor sat down with each other and 9 10 tried to talk through this? 11 MR. LUBIN: Your Honor, we've -- we had a face-toface discussion, I would say, four weeks ago. I don't remember 12 13 exactly. We have not otherwise had face-to-face discussions 14 recently with the bank, although we do talk, I would say, on a fairly regular basis with them. We have a -- I think a bi-15 16 weekly conference call to bring them up to date on what's 17 happening. 18 But I would say what Mr. Tishler articulated today is 19 again quite a bit different from what he's -- from the way he's 20 said it before and I've said this before on behalf of the 21 debtors. We don't believe -- we are not ultimately, totally 22 opposed to selling anything. We think this is the -- this is 23 not the best way to go to the maximized value. That's for the 24 benefit of the Committee and its constituencies, shareholders, 25 and even Capital Source, but we're -- we understand at some

13 point in time we may have to do something different if the 1 2 world doesn't change to some degree or we can't change 3 ourselves enough to get the world to believe what we're telling 4 them. So we are open to that. We're happy to sit down with 5 I don't know if it needs to be face to face, but we 6 7 certainly can talk. We're all in different cities. We can get 8 together and do it face to face or we could do it over the phone. But I guess we -- we would prefer to play it out a bit 9 10 further in terms of being able to get the financing because we 11 believe this consolidation it has a dramatic favorable impact. 12 You know, we're preparing contracts now for the long-term 13 commitments of customers to purchase these parts from us. We 14 think it's clearly demonstrable that is has a dramatic 15 favorable impact on the cash flow. We're eliminating an entire structure of overhead and taking maybe 11 to 12 million 16 17 dollars' worth of business that's producing a negative cash 18 flow now and turning it into probably four million dollars a 19 year of cash flow. That's dramatic. 20 MR. SILVERSTEIN: Your Honor. It's Paul Silverstein. 21 Just for the record, I'd like it clear that the Court is aware 22 that Mr. Lubin and his statements have no credibility with the 23 Committee. It's the same, you know, euphoria and the same 24 statements that we've been hearing for a very long time. 25 There's no credibility whatsoever.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Tishler, your articulation -- I'm not certainly holding you or your clients to it, but it was a little different than I recall having heard before. Now let me ask whether from -- I don't remember now. Do you have any of your principals present on the phone? MR. TISHLER: Yes, Your Honor. Mark Fadoddy is on for Capital Source. Your Honor, I don't think it's different. We've -- we proposed at the last status conference -- I think the phrase I used is rather than being "afraid of the dark" that we should "illuminate what's in the dark" by finding out what these prices -- what the potential options are to this company and that's been our position all along. We understand a fire sale, as Mr. Lubin has repeatedly said in that face-to-face meeting, is a no-go. That's not what we're talking about here. But we do think it is wise for all constituents to know what all the options are that are available to this company, including what certain sale -- a sale of assets, maybe not all the assets, but some of the assets here would bring because among other things sales of assets may de-lever [ph.] this company to a point where they could get exit financing, among other things. But that is -- that has been and consistently has been our approach is we understand this company has to use cash collateral. We're not seeking to shut the company down. At

the same time we do think it's time to start looking at some

15 other options here. That doesn't mean we're going to take any 1 2 options but we also think that, you know, instead of a 12-month 3 use of cash, a six-month use of cash and an examination of options, then coming back in the middle of the summer and 4 5 looking at what our options are makes the most sense here. 6 have proposed that to the debtors. They have not accepted that 7 proposal. But we're happy to go back and talk to them further 8 about it. 9 THE COURT: Where -- Mr. Fadoddy, I don't know what 10 city you're located in. 11 MR. FADODDY: It's Mark Fadoddy from Capital Source, 12 Your Honor. Our headquarters of Capital Source is located 13 outside of Washington, D.C. I work out of a satellite office 14 in Baltimore, Maryland. 15 THE COURT: I mean, you know, I'm not going to order that it be done but I strongly urge that business people 16 17 from -- the appropriate business people from the debtors and 18 appropriate business people from Capital Source sit down and 19 while, you know, phones are fine but I really do think there's 20 a real advantage face to face. You know, there might be some 21 phone calls as a preliminary -- for preparation as to what 22 specific information each of you wants or wants to share, but I 23 really encourage you sooner rather than later, so we don't get 24 right up to the date for the cash collateral hearing, that you 25 sit down and -- because I'm really not hearing such enormous

differences between the position of the debtors and the position that's been articulated for Capital Source.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There is going to be -- whatever happens about the cash collateral, there's no doubt there's going to be a contested hearing with respect to extension of exclusivity but, I mean, the reason that I wanted to hear those together, I do think that they are going to be necessarily linked as to what direction this case is going in. And, you know, I would definitely encourage if you can do it within the next week or so that you have the face-to-face meeting, obviously with your lawyers present but with business people with sufficient authority to try and resolve the issues regarding the cash collateral motion. It may not put off those issues forever but even if it provides just as the initial cash collateral order had this February drop-dead date in it, it may be that, you know, a reasonable extension on that date is the most appropriate resolution of the cash collateral motion for now. So I would hope that that would take place.

With respect to -- and I know, Mr. Strochak, you indicated that discovery is going forward. Let me ask you, Mr. Silverstein or Mr. Brock, is the Committee undertaking discovery with respect to the exclusivity motion?

MR. BROCK: Yes, Your Honor. We -- this is Jerry

Brock. We have deposed the representative of Capital One and

I'm scheduled to take Mr. Lupin's deposition tomorrow. We are

```
17
   preparing to let Mr. Strochak talk with a committee
1
2
    representative on an informal basis. He's welcome to take a
 3
    deposition if he wants to but he had indicated that an informal
    discussion would be okay, so we're trying to set that up.
 4
 5
   not aware of any other discovery that is contemplated --
 6
              THE COURT:
                          Okav.
 7
              MR. BROCK:
                          -- with respect to the exclusivity
 8
   motion.
9
              THE COURT:
                         All right. Thank you, Mr. Brock.
10
              MR. LUBIN:
                         For the sake of openness, Mr. Brock --
11
              THE COURT:
                         Just identify yourself, please.
12
              MR. LUBIN:
                          I'm sorry. Mike Lubin.
13
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
14
              MR. LUBIN:
                          I just wanted to tell you, I strained my
15
    back yesterday and I'm still in spasm. I'm not going to be
    able to sit through a deposition tomorrow, I don't think. I'm
16
17
    going to have to push that to Thursday or Friday. I think I'll
18
    be in good shape by then.
19
              MR. BROCK: Well, I guess we ought to talk about it
20
    offline and not take up the Court's -- Jerry Brock, I'm
21
    sorry -- take up the Court's time. but I'm going to be out of
22
    the country later on this week, so --
23
              THE COURT:
                         All right. Why don't you all endeavor --
24
   you ought to be able to work out something.
25
              MR. LUBIN: I didn't want anybody to be misled here,
```

```
18
1
   Your Honor, that's all.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anybody else want to
 3
   be heard?
              All right. Well, that does give me some update. You
 4
 5
   have a schedule for the various filings that I want to see
 6
   before the hearing. Certainly if you're -- again, I would hope
7
    that this wouldn't come down to the wire with respect to the
 8
   cash collateral. I don't -- it doesn't sound to me that you're
   going to be able to resolve the exclusivity issue. That's
9
10
   between the Committee and the debtors. We'll go forward with
11
    the hearing on that. If you're able to resolve it for some
12
   period of time, that certainly I think would be in everybody's
    interest as well, but with respect to the cash collateral
13
14
   please keep the Court posted if you're able to resolve the
15
    issue. Even if you get to the point where you've reached an
    agreement in principal, it still has to be documented. I'd
16
17
    appreciate it if you'd let the Court know.
18
             MR. STROCHAK: We certainly will, Judge. It's Adam
19
    Strochak.
20
             THE COURT: All right. Anybody else want to be
21
   heard?
22
             Okay. Thank you all for the report.
23
             MR. STROCHAK: Thank you, Judge. Good day.
24
              THE COURT: Thank you.
25
              (Proceedings ended at 10:28 a.m.)
```

I certify that the foregoing is a court transcript from an electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Ruth Ann Hager Dated: February 17, 2009